

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/546,133	04/10/2000	Claude Basso	RAL9-00-0029	2809
7590 06/09/2004			EXAMINER	
Dilllon & Yudell LLp			CAO, DIEM K	
8911 North Capital Of Texas Suite 2110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78	8759		2126	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Best Available Copy

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/546,133 BASSO ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** 2126 Diem K Cao -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ___ 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-11,13-16,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet Best Available CopyAction

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other: The Applicant's arguments regarding claims 1-20 have been reconsidered but are not persuasive because as to the arguments "Applicant claimed a network service architecture for a distributed processing system with multiple processor interconnected", examiner respectfully disagrees because all the independent claims only claimed "one or more processors", and "a switch fabric coupled to said one or more processors", therefore, only one network processor still meets the claim limitation, and it is taught by Chang. The system of Chang also teaches a host control a network processor in a distributed system to transfer data between multiple computer systems. Applicant further argues that Flory does not teach "an external API", however, there is no definition or clearly claim which it could be because external API is external to the network processor, therefore, the arguments are not persuasive. As to features of claim 12, which now incorporated into the independent claim 7, it is taught by Flory and Chang, Chang teaches the DCM is host operating system independent, and it can portable to various network operating system, it is obvious the request and response need to be translated between the host system and the network processor. Chang also provides further example on col. 9, lines 1-6 and lines 27-31. Therefore, the prior art of record still renders the claims unpattentable.

Best Available Copy